

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,434	09/10/2003	Guennadi V. Glinskii	23543-07570	4883
758 7590 08/30/2007 FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER			EXAMINER	
			LIN, JERRY	
801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/660,434	GLINSKII, GUENNADI V.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry Lin	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 August 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	·				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,434 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further consideration of the claims and the amendments made to the specification, the Examiner has deemed a new restriction is required. The Restriction now requires the Applicants to specifically elect the combination of sequences for examination. Furthermore, this requirement is a restriction and not a species election. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience this may cause the Applicant.

## Sequence Election Requirement

2. Instant claims 24-28 read on patentably distinct combinations of sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences. Each unique combination of sequences is distinct because each combination are unrelated to other sequences. For a combination of sequences, the MPEP states, "Applications containing only composition claims reciting different combinations of individual nucleotide sequences . . . . will be subject to restriction requirement. Applicants will be required to select one combination for examination." (See MPEP 803.04). It is noted that the multitude of sequence submissions of examination has resulted in an undue search burden if more than one sequence is elected. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 36 CFR 1.141 et seq. Examination will be restricted to only the elected combination of sequences. It is additionally noted that this sequence election requirement is a restriction requirement and not a specie election requirement.

Please elect one combination of genes from one table. For example, if Table 5 is elected, please specify if the combination includes 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, or all of the genes in Table 5. If a combination less than all of the genes is elected, please specify which of the genes are in the combination. For example, if 60% of the genes in Table 5 is elected, applicant must also state that Seq. IDs 1-6 are the genes in the combination. Examination will then proceed on Seq. Ids 1-6.

In addition, the Examiner requests that the Applicant identify any sequences in the elected combination that they believe to be novel to aid in the searching of the elected combination.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

another invention:

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Art Unit: 1631

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Majorie A. Moran can be reached at (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,434

Art Unit: 1631

Page 6

/Shubo (Joe) Zhou/ SHUBO (JOE) ZHOU, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER